

**Safe Start  
Local Site Evaluation  
Description Outline**

Site: Spokane

Date: December 12, 2003

**Local Impact Assessment**

	<b>What is being measured</b>	<b>Measures</b>	<b>Source/Sample</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>Data collection methods</b>
<p><b>Systems change and collaboration</b> <i>Development of domestic violence policy and practice in the criminal justice system</i></p>	<p><b>Criminal Justice Response to Domestic Violence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Flow and accountability information for the criminal justice system</li> </ul>	<p><b>Criminal Justice Response to Domestic Violence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Codes of records based on questions of interest</li> </ul>	<p><b>Criminal Justice Response to Domestic Violence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1200 randomly selected court records from Municipal, District, and Superior Courts, period 1/1998-6/2000</li> </ul>	<p><b>Criminal Justice Response to Domestic Violence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Secondary analysis</li> <li>Quantitative and qualitative analysis</li> <li>Reviewers used a common, consensus-based approach to the records</li> </ul>	<p><b>Criminal Justice Response to Domestic Violence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Record review by pairs of reviewers</li> </ul>
<p><b>Community awareness/involvement</b> <i>Attitudes about DV and CEV in community</i></p> <p><i>Exploratory study about male attitudes and ideas about domestic violence</i></p> <p><i>Community awareness and attitudes toward interpersonal violence</i></p>	<p><b>Community Engagement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Perceptions of neighborhood and domestic violence</li> <li>Barriers to community involvement</li> <li>Types of community violence</li> </ul> <p><b>Male Attitudes about DV</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Male definition of DV</li> <li>Level of concern of men about DV</li> <li>Male attitudes about current mass education regarding DV</li> <li>Willingness to participate in activities to address DV</li> </ul> <p><b>Spokane Interpersonal Violence (IPV) Community Surveys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prevalence and incidence of IPV</li> <li>Costs of IPV</li> <li>Factors influencing victimization and awareness</li> <li>Sources for assistance</li> <li>Recognition and involvement of local community</li> </ul>	<p><b>Community Engagement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open-ended questions</li> </ul> <p><b>Male Attitudes about DV</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open-ended focus group questions</li> </ul> <p><b>Spokane Interpersonal Violence (IPV) Community Surveys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Survey instrument designed for this study</li> </ul>	<p><b>Community Engagement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>40 community members</li> <li>Phase II – 40 additional community members from minority neighborhoods</li> </ul> <p><b>Male Attitudes about DV</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20-25 groups of men</li> <li>10 groups of women</li> <li>Purposive selection</li> </ul> <p><b>Spokane Interpersonal Violence (IPV) Community Surveys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>400 Spokane participants</li> <li>400 participants from another community</li> </ul>	<p><b>Community Engagement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploratory</li> <li>Grounded theory</li> </ul> <p><b>Male Attitudes about DV</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comparison of male-only and female-only group data</li> </ul> <p><b>Spokane Interpersonal Violence (IPV) Community Surveys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Covariate and cross-sectional quantitative analyses</li> <li>Random digit dial</li> <li>From 1997 to 2003</li> <li>4 administrations of 2 communities (Spokane and a comparison county)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Community Engagement</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Face-to-face interviews</li> <li>Focus groups</li> </ul> <p><b>Male Attitudes about DV</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Focus group interviews</li> </ul> <p><b>Spokane Interpersonal Violence (IPV) Community Surveys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Written survey</li> </ul>

## Intervention Research

	<b>What is being measured</b>	<b>Measures</b>	<b>Source/Sample</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>Data collection methods</b>
<b>Identification and assessment</b> <i>All facets of the SS program</i>	<b>Process and Formative Evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referral patterns</li> <li>Common family characteristics</li> <li>Characteristics of violence episode</li> <li>Demographics</li> <li>Service Provision</li> </ul>	<b>Process and Formative Evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Secondary data analysis using grounded theory</li> </ul>	<b>Process and Formative Evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interviews with 5 outreach specialists and supervisor</li> <li>107 family records</li> <li>n = 400</li> </ul>	<b>Process and Formative Evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Descriptive case study using grounded theory</li> <li>3 time intervals (possibly 4)</li> </ul>	<b>Process and Formative Evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Record review</li> <li>Interviews</li> </ul>
<i>Descriptive study families engaged in mental health services</i>	<b>Mental Health Surveillance Study</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relationship between family violence and MH diagnosis</li> <li>Attitudes toward DV</li> <li>Family violence prevalence</li> </ul>	<b>Mental Health Surveillance Study</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Family violence screening</li> </ul>	<b>Mental Health Surveillance Study</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Children ages 0-6 at 4 MH agencies (150 children)</li> </ul>	<b>Mental Health Surveillance Study</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Descriptive &amp; exploratory</li> <li>Single-group; single incident</li> </ul>	<b>Mental Health Surveillance Study</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical interviews</li> </ul>

	<b>What is being measured</b>	<b>Measures</b>	<b>Source/Sample</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>Data collection methods</b>
<p><b>Program and interventions</b> <i>SS planning and collaboration</i></p> <p><i>Child and family adjustment over time</i></p>	<p><b>Program Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collaborative planning</li> <li>• Collaborative model development</li> <li>• Clinical model development</li> <li>• Program capacity</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adjustment of families over time</li> <li>• Relationship between level of services involvement and outcomes for child and caregivers</li> <li>• Moderators of outcomes</li> <li>• Parent/child relationship</li> <li>• Decreased incidents of violence within the family</li> <li>• Adult affective and behavioral symptoms</li> <li>• Child affective and behavioral symptoms</li> </ul>	<p><b>Program Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open-ended questions</li> <li>• 2 sets of questions: 1) specifics about the clinical model; and 2) opinions of the Safe Start Initiative</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nursing Child Assessment Satellite Parent-Child Interaction Program – Teaching Scale (NCAST PCI)</li> <li>• Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL)</li> <li>• Trauma Symptom Checklist – 40 (TSC – 40)</li> <li>• Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI)</li> <li>• Conflict Tactics Scale 2 (CTS2)</li> <li>• ATOD Screener and Addiction Severity Index</li> <li>• Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (HOME)</li> <li>• Battelle Developmental Inventory</li> <li>• Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scales-II (FACES II)</li> <li>• Services Access Utilization and Satisfaction (SAUS)</li> <li>• Parenting Stress Inventory (PSI)</li> <li>• Structure interviews</li> <li>• Total # of contacts</li> <li>• Client satisfaction</li> <li>• Psychosocial history</li> <li>• Demographic information</li> </ul>	<p><b>Program Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 26 individuals falling into 3 group: community partners, law enforcement, and others close to the clinical model</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Families in treatment who meet criteria</li> </ul>	<p><b>Program Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Individual structured interviews</li> <li>• Data collected two times</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-experimental</li> <li>• quantitative</li> <li>• Data collected every 3 months for 24 months</li> <li>• Path analysis</li> </ul>	<p><b>Program Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Face-to-face interviews</li> </ul> <p><b>Outcome Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incident information from police</li> <li>• Family interviews (structured)</li> <li>• Washington State U research staff will administer measures</li> </ul>